

Magazine of the British National Party

Identity

£1.95

February 2005

Issue 52



Chris Green - A Truly Remarkable Man

1946 - 2005

Obituary - See Page 8



February's News

Race Hate Attack on BNP Councillor



Bradford City and Keighley town BNP councillor Angela Clarke was subjected to a terrifying race attack at her home in the early hours of 15th January. A neighbour described her attackers as a gang of frenzied Asian men who ran up to Cllr Clark's home and smashed the living room window with an iron bar and then kicked at her door whilst shouting racially motivated threats against her and her family.

Understandably shaken but refusing to be intimidated, Cllr Clark told a BNP website news reporter that the police response so far to the incident was pathetic and unacceptable. She added: "Can you imagine a situation where an Asian councillor were to be subjected to such an attack by white thugs? The police would have every officer for miles looking for the culprits."

number of race attacks by gangs of Asians on lone whites. The local newspaper, *Stoke Sentinel* reported two of several such incidents.

One concerned a 31-year-old white postman who was attacked at 5 am by a gang of Asians who hurled racist abuse as they kicked him in the face driving his teeth through his cheek.

A few days earlier two white youths were punched and kicked unconscious outside a shop by a gang of up to eight Asian youths. Martin Porter and Mark Machin were attacked outside the Late Shop in Sneyd Green after being racially abused as they went to buy sweets.

Martin, aged 19, of Kidsgrove, who was being driven to Hanley by his father for a night out with his friend and their girlfriends, was taken to hospital after suffering bleeding on the brain, a broken nose and displaced teeth. He could have died of the attack. When Martin's father intervened he was also attacked. As punches and kicks rained in, the victims say the attackers shouted: "You white bastards".

Yet again the BBC and the mainstream media have refused to comment on the race attacks. Of course, if this had been an ethnic minority who had been almost murdered by racist whites, it would have been headline news for weeks.

gun-running in Florida and terrorist training in Columbia, and organised crime north and south of the border. All this, of course, is a minor matter according to the media and the old parties, in contrast to such wicked actions of some BNP members of defending themselves when attacked by violent Marxist thugs or having minor criminal records from when they were young tearaways 20 long years ago.

CRE Boss Calls For Black Only Candidates Lists

The racist witch-finder general and chairman of the Commission for Racial Equality, Trevor Phillips, is demanding a change in the law to allow parties to select parliamentary candidates from black or Asian only lists. In this he is backed by the ultra-left black MP for Hackney North, Diane Abbot.

He pointed to the case of West Ham, where MP Tony Banks is to step down from this East London seat, with its 15,000 Labour majority, and an all-woman shortlist has been imposed to get more female MPs. He says, with some substance from *his* point of view, that "race campaigners now believe they are losing out as middle-class white women (Blair babes - Editor) are imposed on areas dominated by an ethnic minority population".

No one seems to have told Mr Phillips he with the bust of Karl Marx upon his desk - that his plan sounds like racial discrimination.

Increase in Race Attacks on Whites

Last month the Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) announced that "racially aggravated crimes" had gone up to 4728 during the past 12 months. On January 19th the *Daily Mirror* stated that "more than half the victims were Asians, many attacked by white youths." Dr Phill Edwards, BNP Press Officer, rang the CPS, who told him that they did not make a break down of the race of victims. Therefore this was pure invention by the *Distorting Mirror* (See BNP website, www.bnp.org.uk for 'Doc' Edwards' report).

Our postbag and the BNP website show that there is an escalating

BNP treated worse than the IRA

While New Labour's thought police are hounding the perfectly legal activities of the British National Party and its officials, the IRA's political wing, Sinn Fein, is still treated as a legitimate part of the political process, indeed a key part in Northern Ireland. And, of course, the IRA in suits are granted full banking facilities without complaint, unlike the BNP. Yet the Chief Constable, Hugh Order, has now confirmed that the IRA was behind the £26.5m bank raid in Belfast.

Since the phoney peace process began, the IRA has killed soldiers; it has broken into the Castlereagh HQ of the Special Branch, taking the names of informants; has been involved in

Identity

Editor: John Bean

February 2005

Public reference:

ISSN 1469-7971

Subscriptions Dept:

PO Box 87, Ossett

Wakefield, WF5 8WN

Tel: 0870 75 73 267.

Please note that we receive more material than we can publish.

Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format.

PO Box 97, Newmarket,

Suffolk CB8 8WT.

Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk.

Tories' Immigration Con

Back in the late seventies the National Front had lost its sense of purpose, was perceived as becoming more extreme and was marching itself into obscurity. Nevertheless, it still attracted the votes of several hundred thousand people worried over the growing impact of immigration. In a bid to attract that vote in 1979, Margaret Thatcher echoed their fears when she said that Britain might become "swamped by people of a different culture". It did the trick; she gained her first victory, and then forgot all about this half promise that the Conservative Party would do something about immigration. Now we have a desperate Michael Howard trying to play the same con trick.

Before we look into the substance of the Tories' latest promises, and whether in fact they could even carry them out, we need to understand their reason for *appearing* to become hard on immigration.

The week before Howard played his race card con-trick the left wing *New Statesman* ran a major article stating that the 'extreme right', i.e. BNP and UKIP, could pick up 20 per cent of the poll at the general election on the issue of immigration. This was based on research carried out by Labour and, to a lesser extent, Tory pollsters. Reading between the lines it became clear that they were more worried about the progress made by the BNP than UKIP, particularly given the latter's state of disarray and the demoralisation of its membership.

A fortnight before the *New Statesman* article the conservative weekly, *The Spectator*, had an amazing article by Rod Liddle on the arrests of Nick Griffin and other BNP people on suspicion of inciting racial hatred. 'Amazing', in that the article could have come from the pages of *Identity* and that the honest Rod Liddle is of definite left-liberal opinions. Its sub-heading of "there is something fishy about the arrest of its leader" set the tone.

There is no doubt that New Labour shot themselves in the foot with the arrest of Nick Griffin in that not only did it give the BNP front page and TV headline publicity, but publicity that was often fair. Look at this extract from the *Daily Telegraph* editorial of December 15th:

"Why did the West Yorkshire police think it necessary to send four plain-clothes policemen to arrest the BNP leader at dawn . . . in the week before Christmas? The police say that, since July, a team of officers has been working ten hours a day, five hours a week, watching videos handed to them by the BBC. Is there so little crime in West Yorkshire that they have nothing better to do with their time? . . . It is a very serious matter to arrest the leader of a political party for stating his opinions no matter how unpleasant we may find them, or how misguided we may think the 800,000-odd voters who backed the BNP in the European elections."

The above evidence that the BNP is now being taken as a serious challenger by the establishment, not least on reflecting many voters' opinions on immigration, the Islamification of this country, and the EU, was no doubt a major factor for the Tories to call for stricter controls on immigration. And is it not significant that Blair *et al* have not accused them of being "racist" for doing so, as they have

done in the past at any suggestion of restrictions on immigration? Does this not hint at a behind the scenes deal?

If this was 1960 there would be a great deal of sense in a Tory Party leader calling for a restriction on refugees to 20,000 or less a year, an Australian style points system for others, and vague talk of setting a ceiling on those immigrants coming to join families. In 1960 this was still an overwhelmingly Anglo-Saxon-Celtic nation with a dash of other fellow Europeans.

But in 1960, Harold Macmillan's Tory government took no notice of the people's growing concern about immigration. Nor did any subsequent government, Labour or Tory (with full Liberal support). All allowed a race problem to appear in this country without once ever asking us if that was what we wanted. Therefore, with at least 10 per cent of our population now being non-European and producing the highest number of births, it is *too late* to talk of restrictions which might reduce the present inflow of at least 180,000 a year to around 50,000. Only the BNP has the right answer, harsh as it may seem to some of our brainwashed people: Stop all immigration, expel all illegals and offer a good financial package for those who wish to depart.

How can we take Michael Howard seriously when as Tory Home Secretary in 1993-1997 he opened the asylum flood gates and oversaw 156,00 applications? And on the very weekend he revealed his latest immigration plans he told the UK's Muslims: "Britain is enriched beyond measure by the talent, contribution and hard work of British Muslims up and down the country. The values of the Muslim community . . . are all values which the Conservative Party shares and cherishes."

There again, perhaps he knew all along that it was only electioneering window dressing, because the EU, of which his party has pledged to keep Britain a member, immediately said: "It can't be done". Brussels bureaucrats say that directives already signed by John Major and this Labour Government would prevent the Tories adopting Howard's 'plan'. It would stop them withdrawing from the 1951 United Nations convention on refugees on which the EU's definition of asylum seekers is based.

Therefore, if the voters want to see something serious done about the immigration flood they have no choice but to vote BNP. The only party that says Britain must stop all further immigration as well as withdrawing from the EU. Half measures just won't work.

John Bean





GENERAL ELECTION 2005: Nick Griffin unveils the

New Target That's Going To Make It Special

You probably already know the British National Party's aim: To contest just over 100 constituencies in the next General Election. You probably already know our five main reasons for this target: To continue to build up voter loyalty in our heartland areas; to prepare the ground in our target wards for the council elections of 2006; to get another TV broadcast; to achieve headline winning votes in a handful of our very best parliamentary seats; to take enough right-wing votes in an even smaller number of key Tory marginals to help the Lib Dems unseat MPs whose departure will particularly damage the Conservative party, and to achieve those targets without overstretching ourselves.

What only officials who attended the Organisers' and Fundholders' Conference near the end of January (see pages 16 and 17 - Ed) so far know, however, is that there is a sixth key benefit to be had from this campaign. What this is, and how we need a measure of internal discipline and faith to obtain it, are the subject of this article.

During my time in British nationalism I have been involved as a close observer or active participant in every General Election since October 1974. Almost all of those elections have seen the nationalist party of the day stretch itself to or even beyond its sensible capacity.

True, those campaigns have recruited quite a few new members,

and the indirect benefits have also been well worth while.

The two greatest of these are undoubtedly denying our opponents the opportunity to criminalise us as outside the political process, and the slow but steady increase in public recognition of the party name and core beliefs.

But despite these benefits, the sad truth is that most of the more practical advances could probably have been made by directing a fraction of the amount of money spent on each election campaign into a longer-term programme of training, organisational development and asset acquisition. To be brutally honest, with the exception of the vote in a handful of seats in the General Election of 2001 (principally Oldham and Burnley), British nationalism's record in all those big set-piece contests since 1974 has been a cross between the Charge of the Light Brigade and a very expensive Chinese meal - one fart and it's gone.

LACK OF IMPACT

The lack of external political impact by those campaigns is not, in any direct way, anybody's fault. A serious attempt to build a party based on British political norms, traditions and on our own culture and values - and to avoid various catastrophic own goals - would undoubtedly have made things very much easier, but even this would not have been a silver bullet. The rising

material prosperity of post-war Britain, the brainwashing power of TV in the days of just a handful of channels, the way in which immigration seriously affected only enough places to worry only a relatively few voters at a time - all these things meant that our efforts were, essentially, ahead of the times.

Where some blame must, however accrue, is with those (the present writer included as regards the 2001 effort, though not the earlier ones, for which I plead not guilty for reasons of youth and lack of influence) who threw all that money into that Chinese meal style of electioneering. Raise it, spend it, and start again without a penny or any concrete asset to our collective name - over and over again.

All of which is a preamble to explain why it is so important that, this time, we finally do things differently. This time, we are fighting the General Election not just for votes and some new recruits, or to make it harder for the *Daily Express* to claim that we intend to come to power by beating up Mr. Patel in the corner shop. We are also going to use it to acquire assets and skills which will help us to be even more effective in the local community politics and the council elections which will remain our natural and main field of battle for some years to come yet.

How? It's really quite simple. So simple, in fact, that it could have been done back as far ago as 1979 had the movement had the leadership and the will to win instead of just talk about

winning. Instead of paying other people to print nearly five million election addresses, we are going to buy the equipment with which to print them ourselves.

The decision to do this has only been made after a great deal of very careful research, debate, costings and planning. Much thought was given to the disadvantages of the self-print road, particularly the manpower required and the fact that the final election addresses produced will not be the full colour things that have been regarded as the height of sophistication in various council election contests.

But here's the first key fact: We have no evidence that those phenomenally expensive full colour glossy leaflets actually work. It is very noticeable that the Lib Dems hardly ever use them, and as theirs is the most crushingly effective election-winning machine in modern British politics, we would always do well to look carefully at what they do, and try to work out why they do it.

Certainly, when it comes to local politics, there is both anecdotal and advertising research evidence that flash, glossy, colour leaflets tell the electorate "we're professional outsiders, politicians coming here for your votes, and there's certainly nothing you can do to help", while plain cheap leaflets say "we're local people just like you, we're here because we care enough to do something, and you could make a difference if you'd join us."

At a General Election the big main

parties are forced to try to outdo each other in terms of the sheer professionalism and flashiness of their main publicity material. They, after all, are in the business of trying to show the electorate that they are best able to run the entire country a couple of weeks later. That is why, in Stoke and a few other really unusual seats, we're going to have to do things very differently to what is laid out here - the exceptions that prove the rule.

But let's be honest with ourselves, in the best part of 100 of our seats we are not in that league yet. Not only can we literally not afford - save in our handful of specially picked target seats - to try to compete like-for-like with the old parties, but in most areas we are not in competition for the votes of those who want to elect their next MP anyway.

Our growing hardcore vote comes from those who have seen beyond the old party politics of slanging matches about two or three pence more or less on income tax. They are the perceptive ones who have come to understand at various levels that the real questions are the mass immigration, de-culturalisation and globalisation trends that threaten not just slight changes in living standards but the very extinction of Western civilisation and the people who created it.

Meanwhile, our even more rapidly expanding softer vote comes from people who are simply sick of all the old parties and the mess created by various of their policies, particularly on asylum, crime and the EU. But as with those who elected Martin Bell and the

Kidderminster doctor in 2001, they are not so much voting for us as **against** all the rest. They hate slick politicians, so why do we think we can appeal best for their votes by trying our hardest to look like slick politicians?

A fair number of our branches could afford to give their candidates glossy A4 leaflets, and our bulk buying power would get us a good enough price to be able to provide constituency-size runs of 44,000 far more cheaply than the typical £50/1,000 these have cost for recent council elections. So we could do it, and various people who got used to using glossy colour leaflets before every pizza parlour in town started to use them as well will no doubt want to use them this time.

CHEAP, NOT NASTY

Our own leaflets, just as professionally laid out, but produced on standard matt paper in crisp black ink on the inside and two colours on the front will cost around £13 per 1,000. The full colour ones will cost in excess of £26 per 1,000. That's roughly £600 per constituency self-printed, as opposed to over £1,200 for the commercial job.


To a wealthy branch, or even to an individual with a bit of brass or a lot of commitment, the difference isn't really very big, but if everyone takes that attitude then, logically, we'd end up going for broke yet again, blowing an extra £50,000 to £60,000 in the campaign as a whole, and ending up on the day after polling day with nothing as before.

The BNP-print road avoids that because, despite the far lower prices quoted, they include each unit's share of the cost of buying all the equipment we need, renting the factory space, insurance, and to buying the paper, ink and labour to print, cut and fold nearly five million leaflets in just one month of double shift days.

As a result, come the day after polling day, when all the others are nursing hangovers born of victory or defeat, the British National Party will be sitting pretty on the following:

- £ A significant increase in the number of members with the printing skills we need to produce the record local number of leaflets we will need to win big in the 2006 council elections;
- £ Four new state-of-the-art A3 600 dpi (dots per inch) digital printers with

DAVID DAVIS: One of our targets in a marginal Tory seat





direct computer interface systems, all just two months into their purchase warranties;

£ Two brand new suction feed leaflet folding machines;

£ One power guillotine;

£ Three paper joggors.

That's a stunning £34,000-worth of election-winning printshop equipment, acquired and paid for outright in the course of the campaign. For the first time ever, British nationalists will come out of a General Election with valuable assets instead of empty wallets.

In addition, it is likely that the BNP-print road will also allow us to use electronic versions of the electoral register to overprint the names and addresses of individual voters on the election addresses in our best constituencies. This would allow us to send totally different leaflets to men and women, thereby enabling us to use the Post Office Freepost service to double the amount of BNP literature going out in those areas.

Units covering constituencies where an extra special effort looks clearly worthwhile would do far better to put their 'spare' £600 or so into paying for a second BNP-print leaflet, with one arriving near the start of the campaign and one near the end, or even to go for a BNP-print double-sized A3 main address (though there would be a limit to the number of such extra jobs our print team could cope with).

Whichever one of the BNP-print versions is used in your constituency, each leaflet will include a small box 'apologising' for the fact that our leaflets are plain and simple, but pointing out that this is because we're not financed by big business or left-wing union bosses, and that we prefer this because then we don't owe anyone any favours. This will help to turn the fact that we're the "underdog" into a matter of political virtue in the eyes of many voters.

Another very good reason for going the self-print road is what we plan to do with the equipment after the election. Two of the digital printers, the guillotine and the folding machines will be kept by the party's central operation, giving us the capacity for relatively large runs of good quality leaflets in future council elections.

As for the other equipment, one lucky unit and a region are going to win

themselves a post-election bonus! One of the printers will go as a prize to the region which, relative to the size of its paid up membership, raises the most money for the election fund. Then, over the following few months, we will be collecting single copies of each local-issue leaflet produced by every unit in the whole country. These will then be put into a prize draw, so the more different leaflets a unit produces the more chance it will have of winning the printer.

The first unit drawn out will receive the other digital printer. If the winning unit doesn't yet have its own printer, then the prize will actually go to the better equipped branch which printed the winning leaflet for them, and they will receive that branch's old digital printer as a very useful 'hand-me-down'. Since only two of our dozen or so local branches which have bought digital printers have 600 dpi machines (all the others are 300 dpi models, giving just half the print quality) and since none of them have the extra quality produced by computer interfaces, then this would be a major step up for any of them.

REWARD EFFORT

So these draws will reward effort in both fund-raising and local community politics activism - both extremely important aspects of the BNP's drive to become a serious contender for political influence despite our "underdog" status.

Finally, getting such an ambitious and practically useful scheme under our belts will provide us with the confidence and experience to take even bigger steps in future. If by chance you think this approach is daring by British nationalist standards (and if you don't then you obviously

weren't around during the decades when the road taken was always the easiest), then wait until you see what we'll do when - provided everyone helps make this work - we build on this experience in a couple of years time.

So I am sorry if I have bored the non-technically minded with so much detail of the nuts and bolts of our standard campaign plan. But I also hope that you will see why it matters and how what might at first glance appear a relatively low-tech approach to the forthcoming General Election is in fact the most ambitious and carefully targeted piece of asset acquisition the BNP has ever embarked upon.

And I hope that those candidates, organisers or donors who had set their sights on glossy colour leaflets will, by and large, see the logic and value of the bigger picture. Those who do will be happy to have the standard BNP-print addresses in their own constituencies, and to help us plough the extra funds and effort into the target seats where we stand a real chance of making an impact.

It is one of the fundamentals of military strategy that a key to achieving breakthroughs and victories is to throw one's maximum force at the enemy's weakest point. And it is a fundamental of business strategy that final victory generally goes to those who plan and prepare for the long-term, advancing step by step rather than staking everything on short-term gambles.

Our plan for the forthcoming General Election is of course deeply political, but it is also in accord with those principles which have been used by so many successful leaders in the fields of warfare and business. What worked for Nelson and Henry Ford is good enough for us. So that's the plan. There is no time for debate or selfish special pleading, let's get to work!

CHARLES KENNEDY: We will help the Lib Dems unseat key Tories to speed the Conservatives' decline



Stoke-on-Trent - Help needed for a monster BNP campaign!



If May 5th turns out to be the date of the General Election, the BNP's really winnable big prize that day won't be a parliamentary seat but the position of Mayor of Stoke. This was the contest that our Steve Batkin (now a Stoke councillor) actually won four years ago, only to be cheated out of a stunning victory by a whole raft of New Labour fiddles before and on election day.

This time around we're wise to the tricks played last time and, for several reasons, most of them can't be used against us again. With Stoke's Independents hard hit by the conviction of one of their key figures, Lee Wanger, for child porn crime, and Stoke Labour reeling from the news that one of their top councillors will soon be in court accused of making indecent photographs of little children, the well-known anti-paedophile message of the BNP should go down particularly well.

Last time around our stunning 18% first preference vote was won on the back of a scratch campaign, with just a handful of activists and very little in the way of funding. But Stoke BNP has come on leaps and bounds since then, with meetings regularly topping 100, with good collections,

large teams turning out to leaflet, and a decent number of leafleters ready to make the jump to serious activist status by canvassing.

If we are to maximise our chances of an historic victory in Stoke, however, the party nationally is going to have to pump in extra funds. Paying for the deposits on three parliamentary seats and a Mayoral campaign, and for the Mayoral election address booklet, two different election addresses for each constituency to go to a total of more than 100,000 houses, plus extra campaign material for distribution by the local activists, is simply beyond the capability of any single BNP branch in the country.

This campaign could easily cost £20,000, but with the real chance of making international headlines in the biggest story to emerge from the General Election by getting a BNP Mayor in a major British city makes it a bet we have to put our shirt on.

This means that our election fund target is going to have to rise from the £180,000 announced only the other month to a whopping £200,000 in total. This is another reason why we can't afford to have more than a handful of local units breaking ranks and wasting money on flashy commercially printed election addresses in no-hope seats in their own areas.

Quite simply, a win in Stoke would do more to propel this party into the big time than doubling our percentage in fifty other seats. Given that even spending that extra money wouldn't in any case achieve anything like such improved results (in fact, as already pointed out in the main article here, small colour leaflets may not even boost our vote at all) the very best thing that

any unit can do if our new low standard election address prices mean that it has already got enough money for its own campaign, is to donate every spare penny to help in their regional target seat or in Stoke.

This is, after all, the **British National Party**, and a win for any of us is a win for us all.



Yes! I want to help the BNP run it's most constructive and effective General Election campaign ever. Please find enclosed my cheque payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage'.

☐ **£10**
☐ **£25**
☐ **£1000**
☐ **£50**
☐ **£100**
☐ **Other:**

Name:

Address:

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 907, Hull, HU6 9WY

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less



CHRIS GREEN - A Great Loss

Nick Griffin pays tribute to a BNP stalwart

Shocked disbelief, followed by a deep sense of loss and great concern as to how the BNP's administration could work without him. These were the reactions throughout the party as word spread of the sudden and unexpected death of Chris Green. Aged just 58, he suffered a massive heart attack at his Peterborough home on the evening of Friday 14th January.

As head of the British National Party Administration Department, Chris was one of our longest serving full-time officials. It is also no exaggeration to say that he was overwhelmingly the most popular. A combination of relentless efficiency, dedication, passion and a wickedly Politically Incorrect sense of humour made Chris a great colleague, a good friend and a source of inspiration to us all. In the hectic five years he worked for us, I never heard anyone ever say a bad word about him.

Born on 5th April 1946, Chris was brought up in Romford, Essex. Not keen on working for anyone else, he quickly carved himself a niche dealing in coins and collectables, specialising in later years in military memorabilia. Marrying his teenage sweetheart Joyce, Chris became a devoted family man, with two sons and five grandchildren.

Despite moving to Peterborough in part of the white flight wave from the capital, Chris came to see that the dangers of mass immigration and various other lunacies created by the liberal elite could not be ignored or escaped. For some years, however, he felt that he was a lone island of sanity in an ocean of madness.

This isolation ended abruptly on the night he watched the BNP TV broadcast during the 1997 General Election. At one point, this featured a group of hooded black youths ("scroats", as Chris used to call their type, regardless of race, creed or colour) jeering and gesturing at the camera. "That was it. This was the party for me. I cheered and leapt from the sofa," was how Chris later described the moment he caught the BNP bug.

ACTIVIST

He became an activist immediately, travelling crazy distances by train or even taxi to help build the party. As he made contact with organisers over Eastern England and the East Midlands he made a point of keeping in touch by phone and encouraging them to do more. He became a staunch supporter of Coventry BNP in particular.

Coventry organisers Mark Payne remembers his astonishment when, having mentioned to Chris the evening before that they were holding a paper sale in the small town of Bedworth, Chris called him the next morning from nearby Nuneaton station to find out how to cover the last few miles. He had left home at five a.m., taken a taxi to Peterborough station several miles from his home, then caught the train - and all on a bitterly cold January morning just a few months after he had first joined. (Chris had become a non-driver after being involved in a very bad car accident some years before).

In addition to street activism and starting his career as



undoubtedly the wittiest speaker the BNP has ever known, Chris quickly teamed up with Dr. Edwards from the party's Media Monitoring Unit. Together they launched a campaign to bring nationalist commonsense to a variety of radio talk shows. With the help of a few other volunteers, they monitored the airwaves and phoned in under all sorts of names and guises.

People listening to stations such as TalkSport now can have no idea of just how rare it was to hear our kind of views on any radio programme just five or six years ago, and I believe that the work done by Chris and Co. played a major role in giving others the nerve to call in with their own patriotic comments, thus radically changing the political centre of gravity of such stations.

Chris Green's funniest and in many ways most 'subversive' effort on the media front was his creation of an entire fictional pro-multi-racial organisation, Rainbow Future. This was apparently led by a humourless, slightly simpering character by the ludicrous name of Lorne Beeson. This led to the best piece of radio propaganda I have ever heard, with Chris posing as the Rainbow Future spokesperson Beeson waffling on about how Peterborough wasn't multi-cultural enough and how important it was to oppose the BNP. He 'allowed' an increasingly angry TalkSport commentator to 'discover' that this leftist had never done a day's work in his life, but thought it fine that the taxpayers should keep on financing his dole.

Other Chris Green specials included several postcard campaigns, whereby BNP activists around the country were encouraged to deluge the likes of Peterborough New Labour MP Helen Brinton with critical postcards. Chris and other informed observers were quite sure that several of the lovely Ms Brinton's most ludicrous comments and distinctly strange actions at the time were the direct result of this polite but unrelenting pressure.

Chris was a goldmine of simple yet powerful propaganda ideas and phrases. Just recently it was he who came up with the very popular white china mugs printed with the words "Another White Mug" - ideal to test out a fresh potential target for personal recruitment. Another Greenism has caught on and been used by

various BNP callers to radio talk shows over the last year: "The BNP may be far right but they're not far wrong."

Actually, Chris knew perfectly well that we are not 'far right' on many issues, and in fact are genuine radicals standing above whole sorry left-right continuum, but he also knew a great catchphrase when he thought of one.

He was always also looking for ways to turn his ideas into money-makers which would help fuel the party's continued expansion. Shortly before he died he was working on a scheme for collectable annual enamel badges, for sale strictly to fully paid up members only, each one to have the owner's membership number engraved on the back. He saw this as a great way not only of raising money, but also of encouraging people to rejoin promptly.

The need to involve new members in party work suited to their talents was a long-standing crusade for Chris. In his own first few years of membership, despite his dedication to the party and his satisfaction with his agitprop work, he became disillusioned with the shambolic lack of organisation that characterised the BNP's day-to-day operations back then. In the run-up to the leadership election in 1999 he went to a great deal of effort to

persuade people in his own Peterborough Group and further afield to vote for change.

In keeping, however, with his dictum that one shouldn't sit and moan unless willing to muck in and help, Chris also did a great deal of behind-the-scenes work coming up with plans to help put right some of the worst failings. He set up the Hereward Dining Club to help keep patriots scattered in the relatively unfertile territory of East Anglia in touch and on board, and to provide the funds needed for assorted bulletins, publicity drives and overhead costs as he did more and more for the party.

TRUE VALUE

By the summer of 2001 we were in a position to bring Chris onto our devolved head office administrative staff. At first this was part-time but as his value became truly apparent he was encouraged to scale back on his militaria selling work and take on more and more BNP administrative functions.

In the last couple of years Joyce also stepped into the fray at his side, providing the secretarial back-up Chris needed to keep powering things forward. It was just as well that Joyce - who Chris once described to me as "the only girl I ever wanted" - shared his enthusiasm, because even on their annual holiday (always to a British seaside town) Chris would take leaflets and set about recruiting other visitors and locals alike. Last summer he even held a meeting with local enquirers he pulled together while 'holidaying' on the Isle of Wight!

But it was in administration, rather than activism, that Chris Green shone above all. I have been involved in the movement since 1974 and have known nearly everyone involved in running its administration since then; I can honestly say that Chris towered above them all. It wasn't just a matter of efficiency (though no one could touch him on that), it was also the way in which he resisted the tendency of such work to get into a rut, constantly producing new ideas, and always brimming with infectious enthusiasm and wonderfully lateral thinking.

Those were the qualities we were aiming to unleash when, late last year, Chris trained a replacement for the vital yet routine work that he had done so well for several years, and to take on his new role working to spot, place, develop and supervise talent. In an article in January's *Identity* (delayed by Thought Police raids) I described Chris and this new job as one of four Aces in our hand.

Chris was indeed an Ace, and is irreplaceable. The fact that he had trained a new membership secretary who had taken over many of the routine administrative jobs just two weeks before his untimely death meant that there was no sudden crisis or drastic gap in our day-to-day operations. But the gap his passing leaves at the ideas level, when it comes to planning and agitprop, is simply huge.

Some of that gap we will be able to fill - not least because Chris left so many notes about his ideas for his new role - but the void in our hearts will never be filled. Our deepest sympathies go out to Joyce and the rest of the family. And now all we can do is to return to the business of building the power-winning machine that can be the only fitting memorial to a great friend and one of the truly remarkable men behind the rise of the British National Party: Chris Green.



BRINTON:
***Won't live next door to
Peterborough Muslims***

In Rutland and Peterborough in 1997, Chris Green made the BNP the front page centre of attention by masterminding a campaign against anti-white MP for Peterborough, Helen Brinton.

Brinton, who lived in leafy rural Kent, but who had no intention of going to live in Peterborough, had been quoted in the local paper as saying: "Peterborough is a delightfully multi-racial constituency."

Chris asked: "Why is it that Brinton has refused point blank to even consider moving to Peterborough? Could the answer be that she's a hypocrite, promoting multi-racialism but not wanting to live the 'ideal' herself?"



Banks Attack on BNP Funding Is An Attack on Democracy

Says Conservative Councillor



At a Conservative constituency dinner in South Lincolnshire on 29th October the Conservative District Councillor for South Holland, Rob West, put the following question to the guest speaker, Dr Liam Fox, Chairman of the national Conservative Party. "The attack on BNP funding (by the Banks) represents an attack on democracy. What are you doing to defend them?" Councillor West has given us full permission to publish the following extracts from his lengthy correspondence with Dr Liam Fox on this important matter.

On 6th November 2004 Councillor Rob West wrote the following to Dr Fox, the Conservative Chairman, heading his letter: "Denying Voters Their Rights".

"I was very disappointed with your answer to my question which I put to you at the meeting on 29th October. In substance it meant nothing. If this represents our policy on this matter then it is deeply troubling for it is utterly irresponsible to tolerate what is an attack upon our constitution and civil rights in so cavalier a fashion. Basically, every opposition party, including ourselves, has a fundamental right to put our case to the electors; and the electors have the fundamental civil right to be the alone judges of our political case. This right applies to all, including the BNP and Sinn Fein, or it will in time apply to none. I fear that we are on the slippery slope to a "guided" democracy where only 'approved' opposition parties are

allowed to stand for elections, as in the Peoples' Republic of China, or in Zimbabwe.

"I know that you perhaps feel strongly about the BNP and its policies but that should not befuddle you as to the quite separate issue as to the rights of the British National Party, and every other political party, to be able to stand in elections and put their case to the electors. I for my part, as a liberal and a democrat before I am anything else, must uphold the rights of the British National Party to put their case before the electors; and for the electors to be the sole judge of their case in a free and unrigged election.

"Can I therefore call upon you to uphold in Parliament the rights of all parties to have banking facilities in this country so that they can comply with the requirements of the Electoral Commission to stand in local and parliamentary elections. This fundamental right must apply to all political parties, otherwise we are heading down the road to a 'Peoples' Democracy'."

LIAM FOX REPLIES

"The registration and financial arrangements for political parties are set down in the Political Parties, Elections and Referendums Act 2000 (PPERA). The Act also established the Electoral Commission to regulate political parties. PERPA requires political parties to maintain accounts, and submit their accounts and quarterly donation reports to the Electoral Commission, and whilst this is made easier by having bank accounts, political parties are **not** required to have bank accounts under the terms of the Act (our emphasis - Editor). Part III of the Act describes the accounting requirements for registered parties, while Part IV covers the control of donations to registered parties and their members, etc.

"Some of the British National Party's accounts were closed after the BBC documentary, *The Secret Agent*, which was shown on 15 July 2004 and which 'showed BNP members confessing to racially motivated crimes.'"

Dr. Liam Fox



Dr Fox's letter to Cllr West then concluded with nine quotations from various national newspapers, particularly the *Daily Telegraph*, on Barclays' rationale for closing the BNP accounts.

Nowhere did Liam Fox comment on Rob West's concern with the rights of the BNP, and all other parties, to have banking facilities.

FUNDAMENTAL MATTER OF ACCESS

Needless to say, in his lengthy reply to Liam Fox, Cllr West drew his attention to this omission. He wrote:

"I would make the following points on behalf of some of my ward members who are members of the British National Party or who sympathise with it, or who vote for it (some of them ex-Conservatives)

"The closing down of Bank accounts makes the job of an individual or an organisation far more difficult, and in the case of a political party such as the BNP this is not just a private matter of considerable personal convenience, or a mere business matter of commercial edge between competitors; it is a fundamental matter of access to the political forum for the political view concerned.

"If the banks, either alone or together, can gang-up against one political party at the contrivance of the government, or the media, or the trade unions - or anyone else - then we have witnessed a short-circuiting of democracy which is damaging, in the extreme, to the democratic and liberal infrastructure of our society. That I cannot, and will not tolerate! And nor should you; and neither should the Conservative party as a whole, or any part of it.

"The BBC documentary *The Secret Agent* was not matched by any like investigation into any other organisation, such as the Labour Party.... the Conservative Party, or into the Liberal Democrats, or into Ian Paisley's Democratic Unionists, or Gerry Adam's Sinn Fein. To single out one party and leave all others alone is not demonstrating the impartiality and neutrality that we are entitled to expect from a public media body. Exposing political parties is the job of their opponents, not the BBC - unless the BBC has become an arm of us, and of the New Labour: a kind of State Television. As a true conservative I find this horrifying."

In this letter Rob West looked at length at the details

Gerry Adams' Sinn Fein is given full banking facilities



uncovered by the BBC documentary, which he thought "are hardly damning of that Party or the generality of its members; rather the reverse".

Having pointed out that in Lincolnshire the County Council leader, Jim Speechley had been sentenced to prison for the crime of 'abuse of a public office', yet the banks had not closed down the local Conservative Party accounts, Cllr West moved on to Sinn Fein.

"...whose members and leaders are stained in blood, convicted as terrorists and murderers, yet they have not had the means of effectively operating attacked. Sinn Fein even has an illegal private army, the IRA; and yet they are left alone by the banks. Why? In England even the National Front is left alone, as far as I am aware, and rightly so and yet they are far further to the right than the peaceful and law-abiding British National Party. It is only a few of its members who have, or may have, broken the law. Respect, the Muslim party, is also left alone. . . . I am just musing as to why an exception is being made regarding the BNP and why you are not doing anything about it."

Cllr Rob West then made reference to the comments of Dr Christopher Tame, the founder and Director of the Libertarian Alliance, on the Barclays/HSBC attack on the BNP's banking facilities. Dr Tame said that this was "an ominous threat to civil liberties . . . What can be done to the BNP can be done to any group" (Dr Tame had already notified *Identity* of this view in an e-mail - Editor).

Rob West concluded his letter to the Conservative Party Chairman with some comments on the pre-Christmas arrest of Nick Griffin.

ARRESTED AT DAWN

"Nick Griffin of the British National Party has made some less than ingratiating comments about the nature of Islam; and this has recently been used as grounds for arresting him at dawn and taking him away for questioning, as though England were an Islamic state and not a liberal democracy. He was then released without charge and the BBC, in the same news programme which reported this, also had a report on despicable violence against Muslims - coincidence? The police had the evidence of what Nick Griffin had said in August, yet they left it till now, when an election may be soon due - coincidence?"

"You may not agree with Nick Griffin's evaluation of Islam any more than many persons would have agreed with Churchill's rather extreme and excitable evaluation of National Socialism in the early 1930s: they actually called Churchill a warmonger. You may indeed be right, not in the case of Churchill but in the case of Nick Griffin; and Nick Griffin may well be wrong; or it may be the other way around - but we all have the right in education and in democracy to make our own evaluations without any totalitarian 'guidance' or interference from the police or the teacher. Again, this is so basic to a liberal education system and, indeed, to a liberal democracy; and yet where is your outcry against what is happening, and why are you so silent?"

"Britain will either be an area of free thought where we will all have to tolerate a lot of evaluations that we do not like- or Britain will become, like the Peoples' Republic of China, Cuba or North Korea, a thought-free zone."




Nationalists and Abortion

Diane Stoker condemns abortions and puts the case for all Nationalists to support her view

Six million children have died, or more appropriately have never been given the chance to live, as a result of the legalisation of abortion. To put it another way, if you consider the current UK population is around 62,000,000 then that missing six million is one tenth of what should have been our future generation.

This mass murder of unborn children since 1967 has occurred for several reasons which this article deals with in turn. The BNP stance on abortion is clear: to tighten up on current legislation to ensure that abortion only takes place when absolutely necessary. I am fully in support of this stance and to explain why, this article will deal with each pro-abortion, pro-choice argument I have come across in turn and the alternative solution nationalism can offer:

1. "It's her body, it's the woman's right to choose."

This is a common argument for abortion often put forward by the left and feminists. However, it is fundamentally flawed. The issue of the woman's right to control her own body

are not at risk here - nationalists recognise that women, although different to men, are just as valuable to society and thus should be respected as such.

The woman's right to choose is perfectly adequate when discussing the very act which can result in pregnancy - the woman has the right to say "Yes" or "No" to an offer of companionship. However, women in today's society cannot claim ignorance that intercourse may lead to conception. Everybody knows that, no matter what forms of birth control are used or precautions taken, there is a chance of pregnancy. Once the female consents to the male to sexual intercourse then she has exhausted her right to choose - the choice was made.

If the act results in pregnancy then the woman (and man) must take responsibility for their actions. Abortion is not an extreme form of emergency contraception - that child has been created, planned or not, and the biological parents have a responsibility to their creation. The child has the right to exist, to be given a chance to live. The sexual partners

made the choice to create the child - the parents must now live with the consequences of that decision, not run away.

Nationalists recognise that in order to "secure the existence of our race and a future for our children" we must cherish our future offspring - there is no greater contribution we can make to our society. Abortion not only denies the child the greatest gift of life itself but prevents our futures from existing. What is the point in fighting on the nationalist scene if we have no future generation to whom to pass on our livelihoods and traditions?

That is the real choice we have to make.

2. "The father walked out on me and I was left with no support."

Nationalists recognise that the most socially cohesive and sturdy unit in society is the family - blood is thicker than water. By holding family values dear to our hearts and recognising that children constitute our future, nationalists realise that responsibility of raising children is that of both the

mother and father. The influence of a mother-model and father-model to the child, no matter what sex the child is, is invaluable to their natural development and understanding of gender. The male and female role models are part of our definition of what a family is.

Men who walk out on pregnant women are not only neglecting their responsibility to the mother and child but are neglecting their contribution to society as a whole. What nationalist could run away from such a call of duty?

Absent fathers have completely thrown away any responsibility for their actions and are cowardly hiding from the consequences of their actions. In a nationalist's eyes the male has jeopardised the future of his country/race, especially if women are aborting children in droves for fear of raising the child alone. The father has a duty to look after the mother of his child and the child, because he knows their importance. Fathers who desert their partners, i.e. sever all contact with them and offer no support, are contributing to rising abortion rates.

A Man takes responsibility. A coward runs away.

3. "I was too young, I jeopardised my whole career."

Whenever I hear this argument, more often than I would like to, I always put to the fellow female a follow-up question: Would you please name me one career which could possibly be as rewarding and challenging as that of being a full time mother?

To this day nobody has given me an answer.

The growth of "women's liberation" and feminist movements, coupled with the encouragement of women to take high-paid jobs, drive expensive cars and "do it for themselves", is forcing women to abort children to pursue material, selfish interests. There is nothing wrong with women aspiring to achieve their occupational ambitions, as long as it is not at the cost of the life of an innocent child and the destruction of our future generation. The phrase "the movement comes before the individual" springs to mind.

How often do women who have pursued careers look back in later years and regret that when they had

the chance to raise a family they turned it down to be another Accident/Injury lawyer specialist among the hundreds already in existence? All in the name of women's liberation? In the full time mother versus full time lawyer case, I know who gets my vote for the most useful.

What on earth is wrong with the traditional role of women - raising children and maintaining families? Women have the unique ability to have and raise children. Why? Because we're better at it. Women are part of the unseen fabric which binds society together. We measure our success not by the size of our salary but by the meaningfulness of our social circle. For men it is the opposite. Women measure sexual success by the relationship with the partner, whereas men go for the number of relationships and not the deep meaning behind it. I'm not saying these attitudes are fixed in stone or exhaustive, but it explains why sexually promiscuous men are hailed as heroes and 'easy' women are labelled somewhat negatively. Well, this is why!

By becoming pregnant at an early age there is no doubt that part of the young girl's life has been radically altered, but in this situation both the family and the state have a responsibility to ensure the welfare of the child (including maintaining the link with the biological parents) and the parents. No child should ever have to die - it just means the family may need more support.

We also have a duty as nationalists to discourage such early pregnancy

and allow our children to finish their natural adult maturity before taking on such important roles. This can mean teaching our children that sexual intercourse, although not something "only bad people do", is a special bond between two people and not an act to be abused.


By teaching our young children the value of respecting the act of sex, and respecting members of the opposite sex, we have the opportunity to return to a society where morals and values have a place in our hearts.

Maybe then young teenagers won't feel so much pressure to satisfy fictional social demands by having intercourse at an earlier age than they would like because "it's cool" and the 'in' thing to do.

4. "We weren't ready for children."

How many parents can ever claim to be ready for children? There is no manual, no calendar which states 'on this day you are ready to have children.' Raising a family is exciting because we learn as we go - for this very reason it is challenging and rewarding. Given the responsibility of a helpless baby there is an innate human instinct which kicks in motherhood and fatherhood. They come when they're needed and there is no way to fully prepare for it.

If finances are the limiting factor, then the state and the local community are paramount. "The BNP must be at the heart of local communities" Chairman Nick Griffin, *Freedom*, November 2004. By enhancing





community, locally and socially supportive networks emerge. The community has the ability to become an extended family if we nourish it correctly. As a community we should be looking out for one another and developing the local bonds between respective neighbourhoods. Of course, this can't happen if the nature of the community is so diverse that social unity is impossible due to differing values, traditions and opinions (i.e. multiculturalism) so we should be aiming for community groups which are able to work together effectively and not rely on a politico-social doctrine.

The nationalist state will also recognise how important the family is, and should where needed provide enough financial support for the family to live comfortably until the family has the chance to prosper on its own. Can anyone deny that is money well spent?

The parents, the family, the community and the state can all contribute to a healthy thriving nation both financially and socially. Nobody can ever be truly 'ready' for parenthood so lets stop kidding ourselves and plough money into family building projects and not into the EU or the Millennium Dome.

5. "The pregnancy was a result of rape."

The number of abortions as a result of rape is actually a very small percentage. Rape is an abhorrent act and a complete violation of one of our most natural and sacred acts. The violation of our bodies, in the overwhelming majority of cases those of women, can never be justified - but why does the innocent unborn victim have to suffer at the hands of this vile act? The child still has the right to exist (even though one of the parents, particularly the father, may not) and so if the woman is unable to accept the child, for whatever reason which cannot be resolved by other means, then surely the best thing the mother can do is donate the child to a childless couple. Is adoption not the most humane thing to do in these circumstances? The child may not have the biological parents, but better adoptive parents than no life at all.

Coping with the fact that as a mother or a father you are separated from your offspring can be a very



painful experience and I won't pretend for one moment to understand how that must feel. You only have to look at Fathers-4-Justice and their recent stunts to understand the emotion either parent can experience. But surely knowing that in the future you will have the chance to get to know your child if you want to contact them later on in life is better than knowing you won't ever know what they looked or felt like.

Rape doesn't have to lead to abortion. Two wrongs don't make a right

However, abortion resulting from rape is understandable and I for one would never condemn someone for doing it. I would strongly advise otherwise, but when the woman has been denied the initial choice of having sexual intercourse then I will support her right to choose abortion if she really feels no other option is suitable.

The other circumstance in which I will support the right to choose, but this time comprehensively, is when there is a direct threat to the health and quality of life to the mother and/or the child. Should the mother be placed at substantial risk if the pregnancy was to continue, or the unborn child be prone to a medical condition which would seriously damage their quality of life, then I will fully support the expectant mother to make her own well-informed decision to continue the pregnancy or not, preferably with full backing of the father. When the health of either the

mother or child is at serious risk the judgement of the parents is their right to choose. No one could possibly expect to make this decision for them.

The list described above does not cover every single circumstance in which abortion can or may take place. However, the aim of this article is to argue the case that nationalists should support the pro-life cause and the reasons for it. Abraham Lincoln argued over abortion that "you cannot give someone the right to choose to do what is wrong." We do not advocate the choice to murder or the choice to rape, why should the death and violation of the unborn child's rights be any different?

Miscarriage is the natural ending of an unborn child's life due to biological difficulties. Abortion is the man-made ending to an unborn child's life for politico-social reasons and "women's rights".

Even popular TV programmes like The Simpson's, which sends out a strong pro-family message, in the episode "Oh Brother, Where Art Thou?", points out that even the multi-millionaire half-brother of Homer Simpson, Herbert Pollack - with no family or biological roots to cling to - tells full-time Mother of three Marge Simpson "You're the richest person in the world."

A career I hear you say? No, the real riches in this world come from the one thing you can't buy or trade: Your family.

If you're pro-choice, I beg you

BOOK REVIEW: The West, Islam and Islamism

By Sadie Graham


This book is a fascinating and revealing insight into the religion of Islam. However this is not a critique of one religion in comparison with others, it clearly describes itself as attempting to encourage mutual understanding between Islamic societies and the West. It does this with confidence and without being too apologetic, making clear comparisons between 'Islamic' societies and militant 'Islamism'. The book describes 'Islamism' as "monolithic, intolerant of dissent and unsympathetic to individual liberty." These claims are supported by an array of evidence of writings by key Islamists, both past and present, which demonstrates the "fundamental conflict between modern Western societies and modern Islamism."

The book initially begins with a comparison between 'Western' and 'Islamic' world-views. It can be highly problematic to compare and contrast two very diverse ideologies, however the author's brief coverage does deal with the issues very fairly, without making over zealous comments about either side.


There is a justly substantial chapter on the important concepts of truth and knowledge. It examines the differences in thought about issues such as modern science, academia and values of critique. This chapter details some early Islamic scholarly achievements and explores what is known about the ideological mode. Interesting comparisons are made throughout this chapter about the similarities between the ideological mode of Islam and Marxism, in fact a summary table is given of the different styles of thought between Marxism, Islam and the academic approach.

The book later discusses the political and social structures of both Western and ideological societies, which embody different freedoms and values. There is a section that details Islamist critiques of Western societies, both intriguing and apparently well-founded. It explains, with appendices quotations from the Koran, some of the religious values held by Islam including Jihad, Slavery, Women and non-Muslims. Again there is a summary table with the main differences between Western, Traditional Islamic and Marxist Societies.

The book continues with an exploration of past and present conflicts that have occurred between Islamist and Western Societies, examining texts by Islamic writers. The next chapter is a brief look at the challenges facing Modern Western Societies and how we need to respond to the growth of Islam and global terrorism. The final chapter deals with the current challenge to Islam and the rising tide of anti-Muslim sentiment that peaceable and law-abiding Muslims in the West increasingly face. The book is concluded with a summary of events and comparisons, along with a shocking appendix of case studies of slavery carried out by Arab Muslims in Sudan. This is an amazing insight into one of the fastest growing religions in the world, raising issues that we should all be aware of and challenge.



Tomorrow is Another Country



Harris argues that, in order to regain control over our borders, the UK must repeal the Human Rights Act and devise a new immigration policy.

£5.50
(inc. p&p)

Both of these books are available from
Excalibur, PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW



*Twenty three speakers from the BNP and two from the Electoral Commission.
Jackie Griffin reports on our*

Organisers' Conference 2005

Produce Diamonds



The professionalism of the security staff scanning delegates served as an excellent start to a busy and informative day. Tea or coffee was served immediately, a warm welcome for those who had travelled from all over the country to a conference with the apt theme 'Pressure and Heat Produce Diamonds'.

Looking at the packed agenda one wondered if we would get through it all, however under the chairmanship of Simon Darby we moved through the day with ease. The meeting started with a minute's silence for Chris Green. Had it dropped we would have heard the pin.

Party chairman Nick Griffin spoke to the 170 officials present about obstacles facing the party over the coming year and the ways in which we will overcome them. He was followed by BNP Cultural Officer Jonathan Bowden, who spoke eloquently on how our culture is inseparable from our political ambitions. He reminded us that many 'trendy' liberals are shamefaced about our great cultural heritage, and detailed his plans to take the first steps to lay claim to the giants of our culture. His own audio production of some of Shakespeare's more Politically Incorrect works will be a starting point - something for which one or two lady volunteers are required.

Mr Bowden pointed out how vital it is to create a new synthesis of culture and politics, linking 'New Right' ideas with moves to reclaim and revive our home-grown culture. A politically radical hard-line party whose roots are quintessentially British has been the obvious prerequisite for success for some four decades, and Conservatives and enthusiasts for 1930s foreign nostalgia are equally to blame for the failure up until now to create such a party.


Later in the day Dave Hannam returned to the cultural theme while discussing music and its potential as a medium to engage younger sections of the population in our politics. The meeting was told that the party now owns its own professional quality recording studio, which will be able to not only produce CDs for our own artistes but also operate on a commercial basis, with bookings already in the pipeline!

MORE NEW BNP ASSETS

This was only the first new asset to be announced, with Chris Beverley, Excalibur Manager explaining that the party now has an advanced screen-printing capability. This will too be producing our own merchandise as well as operating on a commercial basis.

Still on the fund-raising trail, British National Lottery administrator Kenny Smith revealed that the first two BNP lotteries made a fantastic £10,000 profit. Kenny asked that all present look out for possible prizes for future draws and reminded us that licensed lottery tickets can be sold to all members of the public over the age of sixteen. More effort to do this will help the BNP bring in large sums of money from the public.

National Treasurer John Walker enlightened us on his



Chris Welford of the Electoral Commission addresses the Conference

baptism by fire, explaining that since becoming treasurer he has had to spend much of his time securing bank accounts as fast as the high street names close them! He announced that the audit of accounts for 2003 was finally handed in to the Electoral Commission in December, and pledged that the 2004 accounts would be completed and with the Commission much more quickly.

Copies of the party's accounts were available for inspection at the conference, and will shortly be posted on the Commission's website.

Assistant National Treasurer Mark Payne followed, explaining the rules on recording donations during an election period. Anyone who has concerns or questions must get in touch with the treasury department before accepting and banking donations.

ALL-CLEAR FROM COMMISSION MAN

The meeting was then addressed by Mr. Chris Welford, head of Regulatory Services at the Electoral Commission. Mr. Welford explained the Commission's aims of making party political funding in the UK accountable and transparent, and strengthening the democratic process.

He told the meeting about the incredibly large number of wrongly completed returns of election expenses which are still produced by all political parties, and how getting these wrong made it easy for political opponents or newspaper editors to create stories implying financial corruption when all that is really wrong is incompetence. He recommended the "Idiot's Guide" to completing these forms, which is on the Commission's website.

Mr. Welford also stated that, having seen all the paperwork submitted by the BNP since the new regulations came into force, he could confirm that he had "never seen anything that has set any alarm bells ringing."

In the question-and-answers session that followed, Mr. Welford was left in no doubt as to the extent of our concern over electoral fraud and the undemocratic impact of smear campaigns by groups which do not put themselves up for judgement by the electors.

After lunch, the fund holders left the meeting to attend

further sessions on financial matters at a separate venue. This was addressed by both Mr. Welford and his Electoral Commission colleague Mike Kelly, as well as by John Walker, Mark Payne and Nick Griffin.

Meanwhile, the organisers were treated to a selection of speakers on topics including internet security and how our latest weapon is the camcorder. In a talk entitled 'The Camcorder is our Kalashnikov' BNPTV Controller Rod Gordon urged officials to find local camcorder activists who would help to build BNPTV.

The legal department headed by Lee Barnes, who unfortunately was unable to attend the meeting, was more than adequately represented by Clive Potter, who now leads the Freedom of Information section. The meeting was also introduced to Lance Stewart who will co-ordinate the collection of information we need to win cases and protect ourselves. Lance has designed an incident form, which is available and gives pointers to all the required information needed if we are to be successful in fending off undemocratic and illegal attacks by opponents and the authorities.

MORE FEMALE SPEAKERS

The first of our lady speakers was East Midlands Regional Organiser Sadie Graham, who spoke about plans to improve the literature run. Sadie had also organised the two conference venues.

Sadie was followed by editors Martin Wingfield for *Freedom* and John Bean for *Identity*. Martin explained that *Freedom* is not really for existing BNP officials and activists, but exists to give the public an easily digested introduction to the party. He informed the meeting that for the next few months *Freedom* will focus on issues that directly appeal to voters in our target areas. Pledging that nothing printed in *Freedom* will embarrass either those selling it or the Party, he stressed the importance of getting out there and selling the paper.

Identity editor, John Bean - active in our politics in leading roles for more than four decades - endorsed Lance Stewart from the legal department, explaining that he first met him when as a boy of fourteen Lance tried to join a very early BNP. *Identity*, John explained, must be seen as the tool for the development of ideology, rather than as a shop window for recruitment.

This led into plans for the General Election. Head of the Elections Department Eddy Butler stressed the need for the party to concentrate its efforts in our very best areas, and elsewhere to use the General Election campaign as a stepping stone to a big breakthrough in the council elections in 2006.

The meeting then was rejoined by the fund holders and heard the party Chairman explain the plans for the production of the record number of different election addresses needed to take advantage of the Freepost.

YBNP Organiser Jennifer Griffin then spoke about Operation White Vote. Leaflets aimed at encouraging young whites to register to vote were available to take away. Highlighting the publicity gained by a young activist in Sheffield, Jennifer said that this could be repeated in many local papers if the leaflets were distributed properly.

North West Regional Organiser Bev Jones explained the value of encouraging the local celebration of our

national saints' days, with particular emphasis on St. George's Day. The meeting heard how a group of activists in Clitheroe have managed to have St George's flags flying from almost all their town's shops at the same time as raising money for local charity.

Mrs. Jones later spoke again, on her experiences in setting up and running a local Community Association, and on the massive potential for our activists to turn various government initiatives in this field to our political advantage. This was backed up with brief talks by Derek Dawson from Burnley, and Oldham Organiser Anita Corbett, who are both involved in improving the lot for elderly people in their areas.

Halifax Councillor Richard Mulhull announced a BNP Councillors' training and discussion day to be held shortly. Richard gave good advice to those aiming to put themselves forward as prospective councillors in the forthcoming elections.


Group Development Officer Tony Lecomber informed the meeting of a proposed BNP Conference in the autumn. This plan follows on from Nick Griffin's article in *Identity* (December 2004) on the need to strengthen the BNP's ideological base so as to avoid future success leading to us being 'swamped' and our core aims diluted or scrapped by Johnnie-come-lately Tories.

Drawing the meeting to a close Yorkshire Regional Organiser Nick Cass gave details of other forthcoming events including the **Defend Free Speech demonstration in Halifax on March 2nd**, when Nick Griffin and Mark Collett are to answer their bail. Nick also gave advance notice of a **one-day Folk Festival to be held in Harrogate on May 28th**.

The Red White and Blue Family Festival will be held on the weekend of 20th-21st August. We plan to strengthen the family element of this, not least by encouraging more families, stalls and events for children, and discouraging groups of people who do nothing productive all year but want to leach on our success and our hard work. "People who just want to drink lager are not welcome and shouldn't come."

The conference concluded with a short questions-and-answers session. Many of those attending then moved on to a nearby sympathetic pub for a social event and folk concert. All present enjoyed lively sets by Frank from Dewsbury, the superb pure traditional folk voice of Julie Russell and a selection of favourites by popular BNP ballad singer Dave Hannam.

All-in-all, a tremendous day that has really set the party up for the exciting year ahead.



Nick Griffin with Conference Chairman Simon Darby and Jonathan Bowden



Asylum Mayhem- The Real Legacy Of David Blunkett

Julian Leppert, BNP London Mayoral Candidate, looks at some of the human costs of Blunkett's disastrous handling of immigration



To read press coverage of the forced resignation of David Blunkett, one could be forgiven for thinking the King had just died, such was the stomach-churning sycophancy and reverence afforded to our corrupt and incompetent former Home Secretary following his richly deserved downfall.

One might well imagine from the glowing testimony to Mr Blunkett in the media that this was some kind of romantic cross between Mark Anthony and Heathcliffe we were talking about - a man whose only real crime was to fall in love. A tragic hero figure whose honour and integrity remain intact despite his unfortunate fall from grace, and a man destined to return phoenix-like to his throne - following a sufficiently decent period of reflection and contrition - after being swept back into office on a tidal wave of public forgiveness and love.

The very day after the resignation even the supposedly anti-Government *Daily Mail* was expressing its 'sincere hope' that this 'fundamentally decent man' should return to office in due course. This nauseating grovelling to the likes of Blunkett should not come as too much of a surprise however, for it says much about the twisted values of the

British newspapers and the creeps who write for them that they regard circumstances surrounding the entry of a nanny into Britain as more of a 'resignation issue' for the Home Secretary than the entry of a terrorist asylum seeker and his gang who stabbed a British policeman to death on the streets of Manchester a couple of years ago, leaving two young children without a father.

These are, of course, the same media mind-benders who treat a pampered millionaire footballer, baited by a couple of half-wits for being black, as more newsworthy than a 15-year old child, abducted at random from the street and tortured to death with knives and burning petrol for being white. Both the Dwight Yorke football match incident and the denouement of the Kriss Donald murder trial occurred in the same week. Blanket newspaper and television coverage for poor Dwight and his hurt feelings, and silence and contempt for Kriss lying cold in his grave.

INHERENTLY TREACHEROUS

As for the truth of David Blunkett and his legacy, by his actions shall ye judge him. As Minister with overall responsibility for Britain's asylum and immigration nightmare, he reveals himself as an inherently treacherous man, whose dedication to the destruction of the British family of nations and our freedom is matched only by his spiteful crusade to destroy the family of the married former mistress who dumped him.

Listed below are just a few of the asylum-related victims of David Blunkett's tenure in Government, along with his equally vile predecessor at the Home Office, Jack Straw. So, as you wallow in self-pity over the temporary sidetracking of your career David, remember that we in the British National Party will one day hold you and your New Labour colleagues accountable for every single one of these victims, along with every last pound of the countless billions of our money you have wasted, as we work towards the day we liberate our island and make you face the justice you so richly deserve.

- ☐ Asylum seeker Feston Konzani from Malawi - suspected of infecting at least six Middlesborough women (one of them a girl of 15) with AIDS.
- ☐ HIV Positive failed asylum seeker, Linkoy Muhuri from the Congo - raped a woman in her own home in North London. Currently being accommodated at vast public expense in a British prison. Guaranteed the best healthcare that taxpayers' money can buy for the rest of his worthless life.
- ☐ Somali asylum seeker Mohamed Dicca - dubbed 'the

AIDS Assassin' by the press - convicted and jailed for infecting at least eight British women with the deadly virus.

❑ Somali asylum seeker Abdelkadir Mohammed, was convicted and jailed for a sex attack on a 76-year-old British woman in London. He was later awarded £15,000 in compensation by the High Court, because the judge ordered that he be deported back to Somalia after finishing his sentence, and this infringed his 'Human Rights'. He has now been released and could be living next door to your granny somewhere in London.

❑ Illegal immigrant Mudadiwa Chinyoka, from Zimbabwe, was recently convicted of the brutal sex murder of 17-year old Gemma Atkinson from Hexthorpe, Yorkshire. Gemma's body - battered beyond all recognition - was found by police next to the beginnings of a make-shift grave in the cellar of the flat provided for Chinyoka by the British Government, where he lived on benefits at the expense of British taxpayers.

❑ A couple of years ago a 16-year old British girl in Manchester was brutally raped by a newly arrived unnamed Iraqi asylum seeker, with barely a mention in the media.

❑ 30-year old Lee Massey was a star player for his local rugby club in Dewsbury, Yorkshire, until the night when he, his father and a colleague were attacked by a gang of Iraqi asylum seekers wielding iron bars and machetes. They then deliberately ran him over with a car as he lay bleeding on the street. Lee was in a coma on a life support machine for months. Originally expected to die, he has survived but his health and life are in ruins.

❑ Police Constable Stephen Oake - a father to two young children - was stabbed to death in Manchester by a North African Muslim asylum seeker, who was part of a terrorist gang plotting to poison British people with ricin.

❑ Sri Lankan asylum seeker Ratman Yogam killed pregnant teacher Deborah Peaty and her unborn baby in Northampton with his dangerous driving. Went on the run during his court case and is still at large.

❑ Algerian asylum seeker Kamel Kadri ran over and killed 9-year old Callum Oakford in Sussex, in a car with no tax, MOT, licence or insurance. He fled the scene and left Callum to die in the street.

❑ Another British child 12-year old Amy Houston, was run over and killed in Blackburn by Iraqi Kurd failed asylum seeker, Mohammed Ibrahim, who had no licence/tax/insurance and was already on bail for other driving offences. After mowing Amy down, Ibrahim ran away and left her to die, trapped under the wheels of the car.

❑ 46-year old Paul Fritchley died, after being rammed from behind at 90mph by an uninsured and unroadworthy car, driven by unlicensed illegal immigrant Nana Kemajou from Cameroon. Kemajou is due to be deported at the end of the two and a half year sentence he

received for killing father of two Mr Critchley. In reality he will probably be here for years while dragging out the appeals process at vast expense to the British taxpayer.

❑ Bangladeshi illegal immigrant Shahajan Kabir stabbed his own 10-month baby son to death in front of a crowd of shoppers outside a baker's shop in Carlisle.

❑ Un-named failed asylum seeker from Iraq costs NHS £440,000 in healthcare after injuring himself crashing a car that he had stolen. He's still costing us £4,000 a week to look after him.

❑ 40 Romanian asylum seekers ran riot and trashed a hospital in Warwickshire because staff wanted to conduct a post-mortem (required by law) on one of their relatives who had died of a heart attack.


❑ A Lithuanian asylum seeker couple sued the local Council in Southwark, South London in a case costing £250,000 for not giving them a big house with an orchard like the one they claimed to have had back home.

❑ Nine Afghans who hijacked a plane and held 150 passengers at gunpoint for four days have had their convictions quashed. They're all still in Britain and claiming asylum. So far, they have cost us £25 million.

❑ At least 14 Taliban soldiers, who fought the British and American Armies in Afghanistan, are now living in Britain on state benefits while claiming asylum (three have been granted asylum and eleven more still being processed). That's not including the 'British' Taliban soldiers recently allowed back from Camp X-Ray in Guantanamo Bay.

❑ Eastern European asylum seekers have been arrested for slaughtering swans on the River Lea (East London). Police and wildlife protection officials now have to set up expensive special patrols to protect the birds. There are also reports of asylum seekers killing these beautiful creatures in Hertfordshire, Wiltshire and Essex.

*Just part of your record, Mr Blunkett!
A record we will never forget.*





John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

Globalists Exploit The Tsunami Victims

The January issue of *Identity* (which was delayed due to Government theft carried out by Yorkshire Police of two BNP computers) contained an interesting letter from a reader who at one time worked for the United Nations on famine relief in Eritrea and Ethiopia. The depressing message he gave is that the bulk of our charity contributions never reach the people for whom it was intended. Despite this I still felt the need to make some small contribution to aid the survivors of the Asian tsunami tragedy and no doubt I was joined in this by a number of readers. At least there is more of a chance that the bulk of our donation will get through to provide something worthwhile, whether it be towards water chlorinating units or water-proof tenting.

Reporting of the Asian disaster soon took on a socio-political aspect, with liberal-left commentators grabbing at the chance to use the mainly Indonesian and Sri Lankan people's tragedy as an opportunity to push globalism. Reminiscent of Diana hysteria, the media managed to whip up an outbreak of mass hysteria amongst the British and European population, culminating in the "three minutes silence". It was the fashionable thing to do - like putting your pound coin into the tsunami relief collection boxes that sprouted everywhere - even though the vast majority of the same people cannot be bothered to give two minutes silence once a year for our war dead.

Once he saw how big an issue the catastrophe was likely to be, Tony Blair took time off from his family holiday in Egypt (to which he was entitled, but not by using the Queen's Flight for his family's transport) to announce it was "a global tragedy that would take the world years to deal with". He insisted that the United Nations should lead the international effort.

The fact is that to date the United Nations, like the EU, has done virtually nothing, except put some expensive caterers on stand-by for 'discussion' meetings. A number of its highly paid officials were despatched to the stricken areas to try and claim responsibility for the work done by such organisations as Britain's Oxfam and France's Medecin Sans Frontieres.

For all the criticisms that have been made of the United States, they were quick to send two naval squadrons to Sumatra to provide the bulk of the relief in the most stricken area around Aceh. Our Royal Navy has managed to rustle up two ships that are now in Sri Lankan waters and a further one off Sumatra, and the Australians, Japanese and South Korean are amongst other nation states that gave active help.

In contrast, for three days after the Indian Ocean earthquake, Kofi Annan, the UN's general secretary, was holidaying in the Rocky Mountains winter wonderland at the Wyoming home of James Wolfensohn, the president of the World Bank.

Next, no doubt, we shall be hearing that some New Labour or Lib Dem MP, or a trendy Bishop, will be suggesting that Britain should take in a few thousand of the unfortunate Indonesians, Sri Lankans or Andoman

Islanders as asylum seekers, and particularly the orphaned children. The fact is that England, Wales and the Scottish lowland belt are already more densely populated than any of the Asian countries affected. How long that will remain cannot be accurately predicted because with a world population of over six and a half billion, according to the US Bureau of the Census the world's population grows by 216,000 a day and less than the 16,000 are white. Therefore the 225,000 people who lost their lives on Boxing Day (excluding up to 600 Britons and 4,000 other Europeans) were replaced within not much more than a day. In Indonesia, with a population of 240 million, it might have taken a few hours longer. It probably took three days or more to replace the lost Britons and other Europeans, such is our all-time low birth rate.

What happened was a reminder of nature's awesome power. However, two 60th anniversaries this year will remind us that the 'techniks' of European man can also show nature a trick or two in handing out death. On the nights of February 14th and 15th 1945 in excess of 35,000 women, children and old men were burnt alive and reduced to ashes in Dresden, although the war was nearly over. The cry that "they started it" did not justify that action against fellow Europeans. In early August 1945 a minimum of 140,000 Japanese were vaporised in Hiroshima and another 70,000 in Nagasaki.

"Vote For Me" Was "Vote BNP"

ITV's latest knock-out reality show *Vote For Me* gave food for thought when it was screened last month. Sixty contenders to stand as an Independent candidate at ITV's expense at the General Election were briefly presented to us and half appeared to be out on day release from a mental home. These were whittled down to seven sane, but mainly uninspiring ladies and gentlemen by the judges: John Sergeant, ITV's former political correspondent; Lorraine Kelly, a rabid PC liberal-left media presenter; and Kelvin MacKenzie, former editor of the *Sun*.

First of this magnificent seven to be voted off by the viewers was Dominic Carman, son of the late renowned libel lawyer, George Carman. Apart from being the most intelligent, Dominic Carman is the author of a new book on Nick Griffin and the rise of the BNP, due to be published this summer. The views he expressed were a mishmash of Liberal and orthodox Conservative thinking. This led me to think: was his main reason for appearing on the programme to establish his 'non-extremist' credentials and thereby pre-empt any smears that may be thrown at him when his book is published? This view does, of course, rest on the assumption that his book is going to be factual and not just another hatchet job.

Of the remaining six candidates only one dealt with more than single issues and did not suffer from a charisma by-pass. This was Rodney Hylton-Potts, a defrocked solicitor who had spent time in HMP Brixton for fraud. He was soon under attack by the judges; not because of his

crime of fiddling a bank but because he made it very clear that he believed in stopping all further immigration and immediate withdrawal from "the con-trick that is Europe". It was clear that John Sergeant and Lorraine Kelly in particular loathed him. All other candidates were gently criticised, but Rodney Hylton-Potts (who I felt somewhat ill at ease with) was abused every night by them. And every night when the viewers' vote was announced on who should go, the judges got even more annoyed when they found that the exotic Rodney was still there.

The impression received was that this was not going according to plan. The 'racist' with a criminal past (who the viewer was clearly supposed to equate with the BNP) should have gone out on the first vote. But he confounded the judges' frequently expressed expectations and went on to be the winner with 80 per cent of the final vote. Thus the viewers gave their opinions on immigration and the EU, which in essence were those of the BNP.

Meanwhile, Hylton-Potts' reward is to go to Folkestone and fight Tory Leader Michael Howard as an Independent at the General Election - unless in the meantime ITV finds some method of disowning him.

Stand By Our Troops

The three soldiers who have been found guilty of ill-treating Iraqi looters obviously went too far and deserve their punishment. But the 65,000 soldiers who have been rotated through Iraq do not deserve being pilloried by the British press (with the exception of the *Telegraph*) with their "shock, horror" headlines, blown up photographs and ill-founded suggestions that this was "the tip of the iceberg". This was hardly a major war crime and the overwhelming majority of our troops, including the 79 dead and the 800 seriously injured, were completely blameless.

Like the dead and the wounded, the three soldiers of the Royal Regiment of Fusiliers are the victims of the conditions existing in Iraq as a result of Blair's vain-glorious actions in getting us into a war that was for America's oil and Israel's security and of no interest to Britain.

Are The Four 'Brits' Really Innocent?

By the time this issue is published the media will be welcoming 'home' the four British subjects who were detained by the US Government in Guantanamo Bay. No doubt they will be protesting their innocence on TV programmes ranging from *News Night* to *Richard and Judy*, and we will be told to believe them.

It is possible that the Americans did in fact exaggerate the evidence against them. However, it is notable that at least 12 of more than 240 men whom the US released earlier from Guantanamo because they thought they posed "little or no threat" went on to be recaptured, or killed, fighting US or coalition forces in Pakistan or Afghanistan.

Are we really supposed to believe that these four Moslem 'Brits' were completely innocent individuals who were on holiday bird watching or stamp collecting in

Afghanistan when they were captured by US or coalition forces? Not much has appeared in our press on the charges that the US originally made against them.

According to Alasdair Palmer, writing in the *Sunday Telegraph* 16.1.05, Feroz Abbasi, for instance, trained at an al-Qaeda camp, stated that he wanted to "kill Americans and Jews", and volunteered for a suicide mission to blow up Americans in Afghanistan.

Richard Belmar admitted that he had been trained at a terrorist camp in Afghanistan, where he had been in contact with Osama bin Laden. Martin Mubanga also admitted to being a member of al-Qaeda and attending a training camp in Afghanistan. Moazzam Begg also trained in an al-Qaeda camp in Afghanistan.

Before they are allowed to live in Britain, no doubt at the taxpayers' expense, we can only hope that they are thoroughly investigated by our police to ensure that they are not adding to the terrorist cells that already exist here.

Warning From Ancient Rome

With the latest statistics showing that 47% of all school-age children in Greater London are now of non-white origin we should learn from the following comments describing Rome, also once the capital of a great empire, when it had passed its zenith and was beginning its inexorable slide into decay.

"Rome, the capital of a great monarchy, was incessantly filled with subjects and strangers from every part of the world, who all introduced and enjoyed the favourite superstition of their native country." From p.28, Vol.1, Gibbons *Decline & Fall of the Roman Empire*.

Again, from p.168, Vol.1:

"The nation of soldiers, magistrates and legislators, who composed the 35 tribes of the (original) Roman people, was dissolved into the common mass of mankind and confounded with the millions of servile provincials (i.e. colonials) who had received the name without the spirit of the Romans."

Prof. C. Northcote Parkinson writes in his *East & West* (1963) of 'hybrid' Rome:

"Rome came to be peopled very largely by Levantines, Egyptians, Armenians and Jews; by astrologers, tipsters, idlers and crooks."

The Swedish historian Prof. Martin Nilsson wrote in *Imperial Rome*:

"Of greater variety than elsewhere was the medley of races in the capital, where individuals congregated from all quarters, either on business with the rulers and the government, or as fortune seekers in the great city where great possibilities were open to all. It is almost impossible for us today to realise the motley character of the Roman mob."

Also worthy of note are these observations from two of Europe's great philosophers:

"Our civilisation and culture is sick. It offers no defence. It takes pleasure in its own vilification and disintegration." - Oswald Spengler, *Hour of Decision*.

"A home for everyone is a home for no one." - Jean-Jacque Rousseau, the 18th century philosopher, more given to a "liberal" outlook than a nationalist one.



Feb 2005

THE AN...
ASYLUM IN BRITAIN
ASYLUM SEEKERS
in crowded classrooms
AT HOTEL P...
ASYLUM SEEKERS

Readers' Letters

Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 8WT, England. E-mail: identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

LETTER OF THE MONTH

>> Nick Was Right On Keighley

Nick Griffin was right in the footage screened by the BBC in their desperate July documentary when he said that "Keighley should be on everybody's lips" regarding the evil wave of abductions, rapes and drink spikings of white children as young as eleven. When the C4 documentary came along in August I thought that the situation couldn't get any worse when it showed white girls, some not even in their teens, being groomed into becoming 'white meat' prostitutes, almost exclusively for Asian men.

Local people know that the girls are being plied with heroin and cocaine in order to become hopeless addicts, solely so that they cannot escape the clutches of their 'pimps'. They are so young they cannot see what their lifetimes are being shaped into, which is the reason why the scum who do this prey on such young girls! Meanwhile their distraught mothers are left to search the streets for their children, whilst the police have been letting this go on since early 2003 at least.

The C4 documentary, filmed for over a year, was originally scheduled to be shown last April, but West Yorkshire Police were able to have it postponed until August in case it helped the BNP in the June 10th elections, no doubt. Now, BNP members and supporters need to know what progress has been made to put an end to this racial child abuse. Now that the BNP has councillors in Keighley and a growing, solid support base I hope this will be given number one priority.

K. Smyth
Shaw, Lancs

I cannot offer you much practical help as I am 85, but the way in which England has changed since my childhood is nothing less than catastrophic. Most people of my acquaintance feel quite helpless as their mouths are gagged by the iniquitous Race Relation's industry Star Chamber. I feel your Party is our only salvation.

Iris Graham
Birmingham

>> The 'Brits' From Guantanamo Bay

Am I alone in feeling more than somewhat unsympathetic to the four so-called 'Brits' recently released from Guantanamo Bay?

Is there not something extremely repugnant about a scenario where a herd of British lawyers grab headlines in the media on every conceivable occasion to bellow about the apparently disgraceful treatment meted out to their unfortunate 'clients'?

These legal vultures will make much of the fact that their clients are Asian - sorry, British - and that they are Muslim and therefore persecuted and that they were just in the wrong place at the wrong time when they were arrested.

If the boot was on the other foot, say with four Christians or Jews, in an Arab/Muslim country like Pakistan or Indonesia then the scenario would be totally different. No legal representation, immediate imprisonment, generally in solitary confinement, constant beatings, often no trial whatsoever and just as often summary execution. This would also be the lot of indigenous Christians just for trying to practice their faith, except that they would probably be beaten to death even before they reached prison!

Personally, I suspect that if not directly guilty, all four were definitely intending to commit terrorist acts. I

>> BNP Banned From Unions

Since a law allowing Union Officials to ban workers from the Union for having 'extreme' views was announced between the Christmas and New Year weekends I have not seen or heard any comments on this in the mass media. The law in itself is extreme and outrageous and ranks alongside the banning of BNP members and supporters from working in the Civil Service.

Banning workers from their Union will ultimately result in job loses with workers losing their right to work and earn a living to support themselves and their families; this will surely infringe their human rights. But most importantly who will decide exactly what an extreme view is? Will this law apply to members of extreme left organisations, or to Muslims who

believe that all 'infidels' should be put to death?

This law will be implemented selectively to intimidate the growing number of honest hardworking British people who are turning to the only party that has the courage to speak for the silent majority, the British National Party. The Government insults the British public by assuming we do not know what is going on, and this is just another nail in the coffin for democracy and freedom of speech and thought.

Carol Collett
Rothley, Leics

>> Gagged By The Star Chamber

After reading a copy of *Identity* I find the views promulgated by it eminently sensible and I wish to join the BNP.



and many patriotic British citizens have every right to expect them to be questioned intensively by our police and brought to trial if sufficient evidence is found.

David Woolmer
Markyate, Herts

>> Thanks To The Women

In August at the RWB festival, with permission from my local organiser, I compiled a questionnaire for women in the BNP. I did this after wondering where all the girls were at events and meetings and what would bring them out of the woodwork, with more of them on board.

Well, I got a great and interesting response back with strong and definite views about how the girls feel and how they would like to see things go forward. So to all you lasses for taking time to fill in my questionnaire I would like to say thank you! The info has been passed on to the appropriate area and I trust your passions will be heard and taken on board. I definitely found them valid. Again, a big thanks.

Jan
Nottingham

>> The Marshall Plan

Working in conjunction with the tried and tested donor principle that no opportunity to throw good money after bad should ever be wasted, our philanthropic New Labour government has now come up with the new Marshall Plan for Africa. "But billions of pounds of aid money has already been dispersed to this blight stricken continent already", I hear you cry. So where has it gone?

Well, in addition to the former dictator of Zaire's penchant for Mercedes-Benz cars, he personally owned 51 when he expired, and other dictatorial despots idiosyncrasies like owning their own executive jets and amassing billions of pounds in their private Swiss bank accounts etc, a total of at least 150,000 external advisors, consultants and other experts are employed in Africa at any one time. At an average salary of US \$100,000 per annum (most African

salaries are expressed in US dollars) that amounts to US \$15 billion (£10 billion), which is approximately 35% of official development assistance. This is prior to any materials being purchased and does not include officers' *per diems* and hardship allowances.

Due to the genetic make-up of its peoples, Africa will remain a cancer in the body of the civilised world, draining it of all energy through financial donations and culminating in its destruction.

If Africa is to be saved from itself, it does not require money thrown at it by our self-seeking political elite. It must be re-colonised then financed through the supervised development of its own immense resources and materials.

Peter C. Lucas
Torquay

>> The EU And Immigration

You made a fine point in your December editorial about EU dictatorship. However, it seems somewhat ironic that many members of the public are more concerned about immigration than they are about the European Union, seeing that mass illegal migration and loss of border control is a by-product of the EU.

Figures published in the *Express* last month suggesting that another 14 million migrants will have arrived in Britain by 2053 with EU backing, clearly show the erosion of our sovereignty. The EU merely perpetuates what is already a growing economic problem. Why doesn't the EU leave British issues to British security?

Ewan Gurr
Tayside. Scotland

>> University Discrimination

Tucked away on page nine of the *Birmingham Evening Mail* 6/11/04 was a small report about Warwick University. It informed readers that the University is planning to award £6,000 scholarships for some new students. The forty bursaries for maths and law students will only be

open to those students of Pakistan and Bangladesh origin. The University claims that this is to "redress the University's under-represented ethnic groups".

I will leave my fellow readers to draw their own conclusion on where the said report would have appeared in the *Evening Mail* if the students had been white!

Dr Louisa Beckett-Smith
Halesowen

>> Heroin From Afghanistan

Charity DrugScape warns Britain is facing a flood of cheap heroin after opium production in Afghanistan's poppy fields soared by 35%. Our country went to war in Iraq because we feared an attack from weapons of mass destruction. Afghanistan is controlled by American and British troops, so why can we not wage a similar war against drugs which are also surely weapons of mass destruction?

Another health threat is that Britain's prisons have been hit by a tuberculosis epidemic, with 60 warders catching the potentially fatal disease. Around 350 people a year die from TB. This disease is airborne and is generally spread from one person to another by coughing and sneezing. With this disease beginning to spread through our population it must be a worry if it is safe to travel on public transport or gather in large groups.

Tuberculosis is widespread amongst the Eastern Europeans as well as some Asians who are now entering the UK from poor countries. The government is fully aware of this danger to public health, but is reluctant to order checks to be carried out on those entering from abroad. There is the danger of a large epidemic in our densely populated country, which would entail a huge drain on our overstretched health service, with a high number of deaths.

We should demand that our government takes action before another lesson has to be learnt the hard way.

Gordon Plummer
Nottingham

Readers' Letters

FOR HUGHER...
...asyl...
...ars as...
...opens...
...ACIS...
...RIMI...
...P20...
...IN...
...23...



Proud To Be British

Kerry Gilpin, a new member from Wales, gives some experiences of the effects of immigration; why he is "Proud to be British" and how this led him to the BNP

A young British soldier goes into a takeaway and leaves with his food. A few yards down the street is attacked by a gang of asylum seekers who beat him to death. His murder gets hardly any media coverage, just a few lines in the local rag, because "Political Correctness" has got out of hand in this country. **All** crime should get equal coverage in the media, regardless of the colour of the skin of the perpetrators.

Rioting goes on in one part of the country between ethnics and whites; the police are told "not to touch" the asylum seekers. White people get arrested and some sent down for 12 years: a mockery of justice. **All** rioters should get the same punishment regardless of their race.

Muslims are allowed to burn the Union Jack in Trafalgar Square; a crime that should happen in the USA with the Stars and Stripes is a jailable offence. This crime should be likened to treason, yet these people receive no punishment.

People in England are told they may have to remove the St. George's Cross flag from their vehicles for celebration of the Euro 2004 football competition, because they might offend some groups who find it "racist". Racist to whom?

A Somalian family go into a Post Office every Monday with umpteen benefit books and get a grand total of £600 per week, when honest hard working British citizens are on a pittance of wages for their hard graft. Where is the logic in the system?

Asylum seekers are allowed into the country, receive benefits, all their bills paid including the telephone bill, houses to live in and even free cars! Yet countless thousands of British Citizens are homeless or living in poverty.

Numerous immigrants are allowed to flood into the country with HIV and hepatitis because they can get free treatment here, clogging up our NHS which is already stretched to the limit. Meanwhile Brits in their thousands are waiting for vital operations like liver, kidney and heart transplants, other vital heart operations and older people waiting for hip replacement operations. This is not acceptable. Such immigrants should not be let into the country without stringent health screening. But the government are letting them in through the front door of Dover and now apparently through the back door of Ireland. And to add insult to injury we, the British taxpayer, have to foot the bill!

WE WERE NEVER ASKED

Why have we as a nation not been asked, or indeed kept informed, about the scale of immigration into our country? No one asked me if I wanted to live in a multicultural society, No one asked my parents if they wanted to live in a multicultural society.

In those schools where there is a mix of ethnics and whites, the ethnics are allowed to wear their headscarves and other religious attire, while the white pupils have to wear school uniform. The whole point of a school uniform is to put pupils on an equal footing. Therefore the Muslims etc, should not be allowed to wear their national dress in our schools. Why is this rule overlooked? One would not expect to go to a Muslim country and wear a short skirt and a cropped top in public or we would be arrested, yet these people come here and expect to live by the same custom as they did in their country. As the old saying goes "when in Rome..." One must ask oneself why they want to be here



so much if they do not want to integrate into our society and live as Westerners? For what reason do they want to be here?

Similar situations are arising in the workplace. In a well-known DIY store, a sheik is employed and contracted to work on Fridays. He asked if he could have a few hours off on Fridays to go to prayer. He was advised that as he was contracted to work on Fridays he was obliged to come into work on that day, unless he would like to drop it altogether. But this was not satisfactory for the sheik and he started to debate the situation, as he wanted to go to prayer and then come to work afterwards. He was told once again that this was not possible.

As I write his appeal is still going on, but the point is that again we have a situation of an immigrant who expects us to bend our rules. And if we will not bend those rules we are branded as racist. If a white Christian wanted to go to church in working hours he/she would not be allowed either, so where is the racism? It is tantamount to bullying by such immigrants, who hide behind their religion and race as an excuse, for everything including accusing white people of being racist.

In the same store, lots of asylum seekers come in with their council vouchers for decorating their houses. They then try to buy other items, which are not tools for decorating and when they are told they cannot have these items they get stropky and sometimes abusive. One Eastern European went into the store and requested that an assistant go round with him to help pick items as he

could not speak English. When told that the store did not offer that service he got abusive and found no difficulty in swearing in English! He was told that if he did not calm himself he would be escorted off the premises. Again a case of expectations beyond our rules. I would not entertain the thought of going to live in another country without first learning the basics of the language, or expect that country to accustom me differently to their own people. How rude and outrageous would that be?

WHERE'S FREEDOM OF SPEECH?

All the above is happening in our country today, a country which used to enjoy the privilege of freedom of speech, but not anymore. One can't say anything for fear of "offending" or being "racist" or "politically incorrect". There is an example of this in comedy: For years jokes have been related about the Catholic, Jewish, Protestant and other religions and no one took offence. The comedian Mike Reid now faces prosecution for telling "Muslim" jokes, now that *is* a joke! How ludicrous is this?

Britain is not "Great" anymore as a result of the above issues and I certainly wouldn't want my daughter to live in a repressed society in ten to 15 years time, as I am sure this will be the case if things continue to escalate in the manner they have been over the last decade.

I am Welsh and I am proud to be Welsh, but more fundamentally I am British and proud to be British. I am a British Nationalist and proud to be a British Nationalist.

Racism Cuts Both Ways

Andrew Murray gives a personal opinion that the recent Sikh riot in Birmingham is further proof that multiculturalism is just not working

SCENE OF VIOLENCE: The Repertory Theatre, Birmingham



Nick Griffin was recently arrested on suspicion of "incitement to racial hatred", although no charge has actually been made at present. Yet in the following week a mob of rioting Sikhs smashed up the Birmingham Repertory Theatre, assaulting police and forcing the theatre to close down. The newspapers were brimming with sympathy for the Sikhs because of "their sincerity to their religion". The Sikhs have threatened to do this again in the future if they object to this or any other play. Once again it would appear that Asians can do no wrong.

The police are terrified of being branded as "racists", but caving in to these fanatics will only make things worse. Ironically, the play they were so upset by was written by a Sikh! This is further evidence that multiculturalism is not going to work for anybody and that racism cuts both ways.

Those West Indians and Asians who have been here for forty years and more resent immigrants of other races as well as new immigrants of their *own* race. Muslims consider Sikhs and Hindus to be infidels. Nevertheless, when forced to take sides Asians generally stick together in opposition to the interests of the white, native Britons. In the long term this is going to cause one hell of a melting pot of trouble for people of *all* races.

WHY JAIL ABU HAMZA?

Why jail the Muslim cleric Abu Hamza for incitement to racial hatred but sympathise with the Sikhs for rioting and vandalism because they are defending their religion? Abu Hamza was defending his religion, Islam, but he did not go over the top physically like the Sikhs.

This Government is muddle-headed and shows all the symptoms of being "loony left", which it has tried to deny. In my opinion some Sikhs and Muslims are stealthily jockeying for power and domination. If the police can't handle it, eventually the Army might have to once the



terrorism starts and Britain becomes a shambles like Iraq. Many Asian immigrants challenge and defy us, retaining their own dress, their own languages, their own religions, their own customs. Like it or not, in the final analysis either the Asians integrate and accept our ways including free speech or we capitulate when we are an ethnic minority, or before that stage is even reached.

I am one of that ethnic minority in Birmingham already. It is a vicious circle: the more whites move out, lowering the price of houses, the more non-whites move in and the rot spreads. Blair is a closet Marxist, utilizing immigration to divide and destroy all that it means to be British. And with Charles Clarke as Home Secretary things can only get worse. Clarke's political past shows he is a radical communist and does anyone believe he has really changed his spots?

And the coming storm won't just be about race. When



Abu Hamza

we become further integrated into the EU it will become a war between the nationalist right and the Europhile post-Marxist left, as well as between East and West.

Why The Tories Opposed Grammar Schools

By Ralph Ellis



Have you ever wondered why many Conservatives went along with what seemed to be Labour's 1970s drive to destroy the grammar school system? Grammar schools ought to be the Conservatives' home-ground, so why did they not oppose their destruction? I'll let you into a secret - they started it.

Back in the 1960s, it became apparent to some influential characters that grammar school pupils were surpassing their private school peers. Suddenly, the majority of industry's top executives, senior civil servants and judiciary were coming from the grammar school system. Had this trend continued, of course, this would have severely weakened and perhaps destroyed the control that the private school elite have over our lives and our country (with their set of attitudes that was largely responsible for Britain's failure to maintain the industrial and technological innovation which had made it the world's first superpower - Editor). The old school tie was rapidly and alarmingly losing its lustre.

Thus a subtle plan was hatched to destroy the grammar schools and prevent the proletariat from taking control of the country. The answer was simple, they just

accused the grammar schools of being 'elitist' and that they were somehow destroying the opportunities of the children who went to comprehensive schools.

Never mind that a meritocracy requires an educational elite, or that a teacher cannot simultaneously teach simple addition at the back of the class and quadratics at the front, the dim-witted liberal left fell for the black propaganda ruse hook, line and sinker. 'We must have equality', they cried, and campaigned for the closure of all these 'elitist' Grammar schools - schools that were effectively giving a publicly funded equivalent of a private education, and thus providing an unrivalled educational opportunity to children from low income and disadvantaged families.

The Labour party, being equally ignorant, fell for the same black propaganda and supported these school closures. But then, surprise, surprise, they suddenly discovered that fewer and fewer children from disadvantaged families were getting into higher education and top career positions, and that educational standards in general were falling instead of rising.

With much hand-wringing, the Labour party then wondered how to deal with the problem, and they decided to adopt their traditional strategy - spin and window dressing. Instead of taking tough, controversial decisions and dealing with the problem, they decided to fiddle the exam results for comprehensive schools. When the universities became aware of deteriorating standards, despite the apparent exam successes, the Labour party then decided the only option was to force the universities to accept the failing candidates no matter how limited their ability.


The only people to gain from this whole sad saga were those in private education, who are now streets ahead of their proletariat opposition in both education and career opportunities - but then that was the whole point of the exercise, and the old school tie system is now safe for another few generations.

Excalibur

www.excaliburheritage.com - 07968 336 426


Please make all cheques payable to "Excalibur" and send to PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW. When ordering t-shirts please specify which size you require - all £12 each inc p&p.

Crush




M, L, XL

My Job Went



M, L, XL

Blunkett - Blind



M, L, XL

Blair - Wanted




M, L, XL, XXL



Back Print!

It's A White Thing



S, M, L, XL, XXL



Back Print!


Screw the EU



M, L, XL

ALL - £12 each


Viking Busts



Odin



Loki



Valkyrie



Thor

Beautiful high quality busts made from hollow cast resin.
Approx height of busts: 43cm/17 inches

£109 each
(inc. £10 p&p)

Coaster Set

Contains 6 coasters




£15 (inc. £5 p&p)

Ornate book-ends



£26 (inc. £6 p&p)

Pin Badges



£2.50 each
(Inc. 50p p&p)



British National Lottery

Election 100 Lottery Draw

The latest British National Lottery draw is now under way to raise funds for the BNP's General Election fight. The draw will be made on Saturday the 26th of March with prizes including £500 cash and a pamper day for two at a health spa.

Tickets cost £1.00 each and are only available to UK residents over the age of 16.

Your Chance To Win

Tickets are in books of five and can be purchased by sending a cheque or postal order made payable to "British National Lottery". Minimum order £5.00 and a SAE must be included to receive ticket number receipts.

Send your order with payment to British National Lottery, P.O. Box 5674, Inverness, IV2 7YL

Election 100 Draw <small>PROMOTER: K. Smith, PO Box 5674, Inverness, IV2 7YL</small>	British National Lottery <small>PROMOTER: K. Smith, PO Box 5674, Inverness, IV2 7YL</small>
British National Lottery	Election 100 Draw £1
Name:	1st £500 Cash
Address:	2nd Pamper Day for 2 at Health Spa
.....	3rd Cash Prize of £100
.....	4th Lord of the Rings Complete DVD set & Board Game
.....	5th 1 Year Subscription to ID & VoF
Tel No:	Draw will take place SATURDAY 26th March 2005
<small>N.B. Send this completed stub to the address above and make all cheques payable to "British National Lottery"</small>	<small>Please return all ticket stubs and money to PO Box 5674, Inverness, IV2 7YL, please make all cheques/postal orders payable to "British National Lottery"</small>
	<small>Winners will be notified by post. A list of winners will be made available in print. Registered with Highest Council under Schedule 1 Section 6 of the Lotteries & Amusement Act 1976. British National Lottery is a division of the British National Party. 100% of the sales will be returned to the party.</small>
	<small>Printed & Published by Vanguard Promotions, PO Box 115, Leeds, LS27 9WW.</small>

Your Chance To Help

To donate prizes for future draws, contact Promoter Kenny Smith at the address above or on 01463 793298. Business donors can be acknowledged if requested.

Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £15 ☐ £30 ☐

Overseas surface mail £16.50 ☐ £33 ☐

Unsealed air mail Europe £17.50 ☐ £35 ☐

Unsealed air mail Middle East, North and South America £24 ☐ £48 ☐

Unsealed air mail Australasia, Far East £25 ☐ £50 ☐

Please Note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to PO Box 87, Ossett, Wakefield, WF5 8WN. You can subscribe online at www.bnp.org.uk. Photocopies can be used.

Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £15; 15 - £20; 20 - £24.50; 25 - £29; 30 - £34; 40 - £43.50; 50 - £52.50; 60 - £62.50; 70 - £71.50; 80 - £81; 90 - £90.50; 100 - £100

Postage - £1.05 for 5; £1.94 for 10; £4.05 for 15 - 20; £4.34 for 25 - 30; £5.19 for 40 - 50